

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.  
*ICSE-WUP'09: The Warm-Up Workshop for ACM/IEEE ICSE 2010*,  
April 1-3, 2009, Strand, Cape Town, South Africa.  
Copyright 2009 ACM 978-1-60558-565-9...\$5.00.





In our ongoing work we apply the approach for analyzing the development process of an industrial partner. The main targets are the following:

- Improved transparency in a large software product portfolio in order to support planning and resource allocation.
- Identification of development process weaknesses and assessment of changes in the process.

Hence it needs to be analyzed how the information needs of different roles (e.g. project manager or product portfolio manager) can be reflected in quality models, and how the evaluation results can be visualized in an understandable way. This extended study will help to evaluate the benefits and limitations of the proposed approach in practice.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Kisters AG, Aachen for supporting the development of BugzillaMetrics.

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] Cook, J. E., Votta, L. G., and Wolf, A. L. 1998. Cost-Effective Analysis of In-Place Software Processes. *IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng.* 24, 8 (Aug. 1998), 650-663. DOI= <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/32.707700>
- [2] Grammel, L., Schackmann, H., and Lichter, H. 2007. BugzillaMetrics: an adaptable tool for evaluating metric specifications on change requests. In *Ninth international Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution: in Conjunction with the 6th ESEC/FSE Joint Meeting* (Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 03 - 04, 2007). IWPSE '07. ACM, New York, NY, 35-38. DOI= <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1294904.1294909>
- [3] Kanat-Alexander, M. 2008. The Bugzilla Survey – August 2008. <https://wiki.mozilla.org/Bugzilla:Survey>
- [4] Gall, H. C. and Lanza, M. 2006. Software evolution: analysis and visualization. In *Proceedings of the 28th international Conference on Software Engineering* (Shanghai, China, May 20 - 28, 2006). ICSE '06. ACM, New York, NY, 1055-1056. DOI= <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1134285.1134502>
- [5] Kagdi, H., Collard, M. L., and Maletic, J. I. 2007. A survey and taxonomy of approaches for mining software repositories in the context of software evolution. *Journal of Software Maintenance and Evolution.* 19, 2 (Mar. 2007), 77-131. DOI= <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smr.344>
- [6] Draheim, D. and Pekacki, L. 2003. Process-Centric Analytical Processing of Version Control Data. In *Proceedings of the 6th international Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution* (September 01 - 02, 2003). IWPSE. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, 131.
- [7] Ebert, C. and Dumke, R. 2007. Software Measurement: Establish - Extract - Evaluate - Execute. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [8] Basili, V., Caldiera, G. and Rombach, H.D. 1994. The Goal Question Metric Paradigm. In: *Encyclopedia of Software Engineering*. John Wiley & Sons, 528-532.
- [9] Schackmann, H. and Lichter, H. 2008. Comparison of Process Quality Characteristics Based on Change Request Data. In *Proceedings of the international Conferences on Software Process and Product Measurement* (Munich, Germany, November 18 - 19, 2008). R. R. Dumke et al., Eds. Lecture Notes In Computer Science, vol. 5338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 127-140. DOI= [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89403-2\\_12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89403-2_12)
- [10] Simon, F., Seng, O. and Mohaupt, T. 2006. Code Quality Management, Dpunkt-Verlag, Heidelberg.
- [11] ISO/IEC 15939 Systems and software engineering – Measurement Process, ISO, Geneva, 2007.
- [12] Schackmann, H., Jansen, M., Lischkowitz, C. and Lichter, H. 2009. QMetric - A Metric Tool Suite for the Evaluation of Software Process Data. In *Companion Proceedings of the 31th international Conference on Software Engineering* (Vancouver, Canada, May 16-22, 2009) ICSE'09, ACM, New York, NY.
- [13] BugzillaMetrics project, [www.bugzillametrics.org](http://www.bugzillametrics.org)
- [14] Makris, K., Ryu, K.D. 2005. Scmbug: policy-based integration of software configuration management with bug-tracking. USENIX Annual Technical Conference, USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA, 11-22.
- [15] Ciolkowski, M. and Soto, M. 2008. Towards a Comprehensive Approach for Assessing Open Source Projects. In *Proceedings of the international Conferences on Software Process and Product Measurement* (Munich, Germany, November 18 - 19, 2008). R. R. Dumke et al., Eds. Lecture Notes In Computer Science, vol. 5338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 316-330. DOI= [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89403-2\\_26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89403-2_26)
- [16] Golden B.: Open Source Maturity Model © Navica, <http://www.navicasoft.com/pages/osmmoverview.htm>